



**Financial Services  
Commission**

# **Guidance Notes**

## **Internal Governance**

Issued : 31st May 2012





**Table of Contents**

Introduction and Scope..... 4

Definitions ..... 4

Purpose ..... 5

Guideline 1..... 5

    Corporate Structure and Organisation ..... 5

        Organisational Framework..... 5

        Checks and end balances in a group structure ..... 6

        Know your structure ..... 7

        Non-standard or non-transparent activities..... 8

Guideline 2..... 8

    Management Body – duties, responsibilities, composition and functioning ..... 8

        Responsibilities of the management body..... 8

        Assessment of the internal governance framework..... 9

        Management and supervisory functions of the management body..... 9

        Composition, appointment and succession of the management body ..... 10

        Commitment, independence and managing conflicts of interest in the management body ..... 11

        Qualifications of the management body ..... 12

        Organisational functioning of the management body..... 13

Guideline 3..... 15

    Framework for business conduct..... 15

        Corporate values and code of conduct ..... 15

        Conflicts of interest at institution level..... 15

        Internal alert procedures..... 16

Guideline 4..... 17

    Outsourcing and remuneration policies ..... 17

        Outsourcing policy ..... 17

        Remuneration policy..... 17

Guideline 5..... 17

    Risk management ..... 17

        Risk culture ..... 17

        Risk management framework..... 18

        New products ..... 19

Guideline 6..... 20

    Internal controls..... 20

        Internal control framework..... 20

        Risk control function (RCF) ..... 21



The Risk control function’s role ..... 22

Chief Risk Officer ..... 24

Compliance function..... 25

Internal audit function ..... 26

Guideline 7..... 26

    Information systems and business continuity ..... 26

        Information systems and communications..... 26

        Business continuity management ..... 27

Guideline 8..... 28

    Transparency ..... 28

        Empowerment..... 28

        Internal governance transparency ..... 28

**Regulatory objectives and principles of good regulation – checklist..... 30**

## Introduction and Scope

This Guidance Note contains guidelines issued under Article 16 of the EBA Regulation. In accordance with Article 16(3) of the EBA Regulation, competent authorities and financial market participants must make every effort to comply with the guidelines and recommendations.

These guidelines set out the EBA's view of how Union law should be applied in a particular area, or of appropriate supervisory practices within the European System of Financial Supervision. The EBA therefore expects all relevant competent authorities and financial market participants to comply with these guidelines unless otherwise stated.

These guidelines apply to credit institutions and firms authorised under the Financial Services (Markets in Financial Instruments) Act, to which the Financial Services (Capital Adequacy of Credit Institutions) Regulations 2007 and the Financial Services (Capital Adequacy of Investment Firms) Regulations 2007.

Furthermore these guidelines apply to institutions on a solo basis and to parent undertakings and subsidiaries on a consolidated or sub-consolidated basis, unless stated otherwise.

Internal governance includes all standards and principles concerned with setting an institution's objectives, strategies, and risk tolerance/appetite; how its business is organised; how responsibilities and authority are allocated; how reporting lines are set up and what information they convey; and how internal control is organised. Internal governance also encompasses sound IT systems, outsourcing arrangements and business continuity management.

These guidelines cover the requirement for the following to be in place:

- a) A clear organisational structure with well defined, transparent and consistent lines of responsibility;
- b) Effective processes to identify, manage, monitor and report the risks it is or might be exposed to;
- c) Adequate internal control mechanisms, including sound administrative and accounting procedures, and remuneration policies; and
- d) Practices that are consistent with and promote sound and effective risk management.

The guidelines will become effective one month after the publication by the FSC of this Guidance Note on its website and market participants should be able to comply with the guidelines as from 30th June 2012.

## Definitions

For the purposes of these guidelines and recommendations, terms shown in italics have the meaning defined in the table below.

<i>Management Body</i>	The governing body (or bodies) of an institution, comprising the supervisory and the managerial function, which has the ultimate decision-making authority and is empowered to set the institution's strategy, objectives and overall direction. The management body shall include persons who effectively
------------------------	--



	direct the business of an institution
<i>Institutions</i>	Credit institutions and investment firms according to the Financial Services ( Capital Adequacy of Credit Institutions) Regulations 2007 and the Financial Services (Capital Adequacy of Investment Firms) Regulations 2007

## Purpose

The purpose of these guidelines is to ensure common, uniform and consistent application of the EBA requirements across all institutions captured by the guidelines.

## Guideline 1

### Corporate Structure and Organisation

#### Organisational Framework

1. The management body of an institution shall ensure a suitable and transparent corporate structure for that institution. The structure shall promote and demonstrate the effective and prudent management of an institution both on a solo basis and at group level. The reporting lines and the allocation of responsibilities and authority within an institution shall be clear, well-defined, coherent and enforced.
2. The management body should ensure that the structure of an institution and, where applicable, the structures within a group are clear and transparent, both to the institution's own staff and to its supervisors.
3. The management body should assess how the various elements of the corporate structure complement and interact with each other. The structure should not impede the ability of the management body to oversee and manage effectively the risks the institution or the group faces.
4. The management body should assess how changes to the group's structure impact on its soundness. The management body should make any necessary adjustments swiftly.

*Changes can result, for example, from the setting up of new subsidiaries, mergers and acquisitions, selling or dissolving parts of the group, or from external developments.*

## Checks and end balances in a group structure

1. In a group structure, the management body of an institution's parent company shall have the overall responsibility for adequate internal governance across the group and for ensuring that there is a governance framework appropriate to the structure, business and risks of the group and its component entities.
2. The management body of a regulated subsidiary of a group should adhere at the legal entity level to the same internal governance values and policies as its parent company, unless legal or supervisory requirements or proportionality considerations determine otherwise. Accordingly, the management body of a regulated subsidiary should within its own internal governance responsibilities, set its policies, and should evaluate any group-level decisions or practices to ensure that they do not put the regulated subsidiary in breach of applicable legal or regulatory provisions or prudential rules. The management body of the regulated subsidiary should also ensure that such decisions or practices are not detrimental to:
  - a. the sound and prudent management of the subsidiary;
  - b. the financial health of the subsidiary; or
  - c. the legal interests of the subsidiary's stakeholders.
3. The management bodies of both the parent company and its subsidiaries should apply and take into account the paragraphs below, considering the effects of the group dimension on their internal governance.
4. In discharging its internal governance responsibilities, the management body of an institution's parent company should be aware of all the material risks and issues that might affect the group, the parent institution itself and its subsidiaries. It should therefore exercise adequate oversight over its subsidiaries, while respecting the independent legal and governance responsibilities that apply to regulated subsidiaries' management bodies.
5. In order to fulfil its internal governance responsibilities, the management body of an institution's parent company should:
  - a. establish a governance structure which contributes to the effective oversight of its subsidiaries and takes into account the nature, scale and complexity of the different risks to which the group and its subsidiaries are exposed;
  - b. approve an internal governance policy at the group level for its subsidiaries, which includes the commitment to meet all applicable governance requirements;
  - c. ensure that enough resources are available for each subsidiary to meet both group standards and local governance standards;
  - d. have appropriate means to monitor that each subsidiary complies with all applicable internal governance requirements; and
  - e. ensure that reporting lines in a group should be clear and transparent, especially where business lines do not match the legal structure of the group.

6. A regulated subsidiary should consider having as an element of strong governance a sufficient number of independent members on the management body. Independent members of the management body are non-executive directors who are independent of the subsidiary and of its group, and of the controlling shareholder.

## Know your structure

1. The management body shall fully know and understand the operational structure of an institution (“know your structure”) and ensure that it is in line with its approved business strategy and risk profile.
2. The management body should guide and understand the institution’s structure, its evolution and limitations and should ensure the structure is justified and does not involve undue or inappropriate complexity. It is also responsible for the approval of sound strategies and policies for the establishment of new structures. Likewise the management body should recognise the risks that the complexity of the legal entity’s structure itself poses and should ensure the institution is able to produce information in a timely manner, regarding the type, charter, ownership structure and businesses of each legal entity.
3. The management body of an institution’s parent company should understand not only the corporate organisation of the group but also the purpose of its different entities and the links and relationships among them. This includes understanding group-specific operational risks, intra-group exposures and how the group’s funding, capital and risk profiles could be affected under normal and adverse circumstances.
4. The management body of an institution’s parent company should ensure the different group entities (including the institution itself) receive enough information for all of them to get a clear perception of the general aims and risks of the group. Any flow of significant information between entities relevant to the group’s operational functioning should be documented and made accessible promptly, when requested, to the management body, the control functions and supervisors, as appropriate.
5. The management body of an institution’s parent company should ensure it keeps itself informed about the risks the group’s structure causes. This includes:
  - a) information on major risk drivers, and
  - b) regular reports assessing the institution's overall structure and evaluating individual entities’ activities compliance with the approved strategy.

*It is crucial that the management body fully knows and understands the operational structure of an institution. Where an institution creates many legal entities within its group, their number, and particularly interconnections and transactions between them, may pose challenges for the design of its internal governance and for the management and oversight of the risks of the group as a whole, which represents a risk in itself.*

## Non-standard or non-transparent activities

1. Where an institution operates through special-purpose or related structures or in jurisdictions that impede transparency or do not meet international banking standards, the management body shall understand their purpose and structure and the particular risks associated with them. The management body shall only accept these activities when it has satisfied itself the risks will be appropriately managed.
2. The management body should set, maintain and review, on an on-going basis, appropriate strategies, policies and procedures governing the approval and maintenance of such structures and activities in order to ensure they remain consistent with their intended aim.
3. The management body should ensure appropriate actions are taken to avoid or mitigate the risks of such activities. This includes that:
  - a. The institution has in place adequate policies and procedures and documented processes (e.g. applicable limits, information requirements) for the consideration, approval and risk management of such activities, taking into account the consequences for the group's operational structure;
  - b. information concerning these activities and its risks is accessible to the institution's head office and auditors and is reported to the management body and supervisors;
  - c. The institution periodically assesses the continuing need to perform activities that impede transparency.
4. The same measures should be taken when an institution performs non-standard or non-transparent activities for clients.
5. All these structures and activities should be subject to periodic internal and external audit reviews.

*Non-standard or non-transparent activities for clients (e.g. helping clients to form vehicles in offshore jurisdictions; developing complex structures and finance transactions for them or providing trustee services) pose similar internal governance challenges and can create significant operational and reputational risks. Therefore the same risk management measures need to be taken as for the institutions own business activities.*

## Guideline 2

### Management Body – duties, responsibilities, composition and functioning

#### Responsibilities of the management body

1. The management body shall have the overall responsibility for the institution and shall set the institution's strategy. The responsibilities of

- the management body shall be clearly defined in a written document and approved.
2. The key responsibilities of the management body should include setting and overseeing:
    - a. the overall business strategy of the institution within the applicable legal and regulatory framework taking into account the institution's long-term financial interests and solvency;
    - b. the overall risk strategy and policy of the institution, including its risk tolerance/appetite and its risk management framework;
    - c. the amounts, types and distribution of both internal capital and own funds adequate to cover the risks of the institution;
    - d. a robust and transparent organisational structure with effective communication and reporting channels;
    - e. a policy on the nomination and succession of individuals with key functions in the institution;
    - f. a remuneration framework that is in line with the risk strategies of the institution;
    - g. the governance principles and corporate values of the institution, including through a code of conduct or comparable document; and
    - h. an adequate and effective internal control framework, that includes well-functioning Risk Control, Compliance and Internal Audit functions as well as an appropriate financial reporting and accounting framework.
  3. The management body should also regularly review and adjust these policies and strategies. The management body is responsible for appropriate communication with supervisory authorities and other interested parties.

*The sound execution of the responsibilities of the management body is the basis for the sound and prudent management of the institution. The documented responsibilities should also be in line with national company laws.*

### Assessment of the internal governance framework

1. The management body shall monitor and periodically assess the effectiveness of the institution's internal governance framework.
2. A review of the internal governance framework and its implementation should be performed at least annually. It should focus on any changes in internal and external factors affecting the institution.

### Management and supervisory functions of the management body

1. The management and supervisory function of the management body of an institution shall interact effectively.
2. The management body in its supervisory function should:

- a. be ready and able to challenge and review critically in a constructive manner propositions, explanations and information provided by members of the management body in its management function;
  - b. monitor that the strategy, the risk tolerance/appetite and the policies of the institution are implemented consistently and performance standards are maintained in line with its long-term financial interests and solvency; and
  - c. monitor the performance of the members of the management body in its management function against those standards.
3. The management body in its management function should coordinate the institution's business and risk strategies with the management body in its supervisory function and regularly discuss the implementation of these strategies with the management body in its supervisory function.
  4. Each function should provide the other with sufficient information. The management body in its management function should comprehensively inform regularly, and without delay if necessary, the management body in its supervisory function of the elements relevant for the assessment of a situation, the management of the institution and the maintaining of its financial security.

*To achieve good governance, an institution's management and supervisory functions should interact effectively to deliver the institution's agreed strategy, and in particular to manage the risks the institution faces.*

## Composition, appointment and succession of the management body

1. The management body shall have an adequate number of members and an appropriate composition. The management body shall have policies for selecting, monitoring and planning the succession of its members.
2. An institution should set the size and composition of its management body, taking into account the size and complexity of the institution and the nature and scope of its activities. The selection of members of the management body should ensure sufficient collective expertise.
3. The management body should identify and select qualified and experienced candidates and ensure appropriate succession planning for the management body, giving due consideration to any other legal requirements regarding composition, appointment or succession.
4. The management body should ensure that an institution has policies for selecting new members and re-appointing existing members. These policies should include the making of a description of the necessary competencies and skills to ensure sufficient expertise.
5. Members of the management body should be appointed for an appropriate period. Nominations for re-appointment should be based on the profile referred to above and should only take place after careful consideration of the performance of the member during the last term.
6. When establishing a succession plan for its members, the management body should consider the expiry date of each member's contract or

mandate to prevent, where possible, too many members having to be replaced simultaneously.

## Commitment, independence and managing conflicts of interest in the management body

1. Members of the management body shall engage actively in the business of an institution and shall be able to make their own sound, objective and independent decisions and judgements.
2. The selection of members of the management body should ensure that there is sufficient expertise and independence within the management body. An institution should ensure that members of the management body are able to commit enough time and effort to fulfil their responsibilities effectively.
3. Members of the management body should only have a limited number of mandates or other professional high time consuming activities. Moreover, members should inform the institution of their secondary professional activities (e.g. mandates in other companies). Because the chair has more responsibilities and duties, a greater devotion of time should be expected from him/her.
4. A minimum expected time commitment for all members of the management body should be indicated in a written document. When considering the appointment of a new member, or being informed of a new mandate by an existing member, members of the management body should challenge how the individual will spend sufficient time fulfilling their responsibilities to the institution. Attendance of the members of the management body in its supervisory function should be disclosed. An institution should also consider disclosing the long-term absence of members of the management body in its management function.
5. The members of the management body should be able to act objectively, critically and independently. Measures to enhance the ability to exercise objective and independent judgement should include, recruiting members from a sufficiently broad population of candidates and having a sufficient number of non-executive members.
6. The management body should have a written policy on managing conflicts of interests for its members. The policy should specify:
  - a. a member's duty to avoid conflicts of interest that have not been disclosed to and approved by the management body, but otherwise to ensure conflicts are managed appropriately;
  - b. a review or approval process for members to follow before they engage in certain activities (such as serving on another management body) to ensure such new engagement would not create a conflict of interest;
  - c. a member's duty to inform the institution of any matter that may result, or has already resulted, in a conflict of interest;
  - d. a member's responsibility to abstain from participating in the decision-making or voting on any matter where the member may have a conflict of interest or where the member's



objectivity or ability to properly fulfil his/her duties to the institution may be otherwise compromised;

- e. adequate procedures for transactions with related parties to be made on an arms-length basis; and
- f. the way in which the management body would deal with any non-compliance with the policy.

*Where the management body in its supervisory function is formally separate from the management body in its management function, objectivity and independence of the management body in its supervisory function still need to be assured by an appropriate selection of independent members.*

## Qualifications of the management body

1. Members of the management body shall be and remain qualified, including through training, for their positions. They shall have a clear understanding of the institution's governance arrangements and their role in them.
2. The members of the management body, both individually and collectively, should have the necessary expertise, experience, competencies, understanding and personal qualities, including professionalism and personal integrity, to properly carry out their duties.
3. Members of the management body should have an up-to-date understanding of the business of the institution, at a level commensurate with their responsibilities. This includes appropriate understanding of those areas for which they are not directly responsible but are collectively accountable.
4. Collectively, they should have a full understanding of the nature of the business and its associated risks and have adequate expertise and experience relevant to each of the material activities the institution intends to pursue in order to enable effective governance and oversight.
5. An institution should have a sound process in place to ensure that the management body members, individually and collectively, have sufficient qualifications.
6. Members of the management body should acquire, maintain and deepen their knowledge and skills to fulfil their responsibilities. Institutions should ensure that members have access to individually tailored training programmes which should take account of any gaps in the knowledge profile the institution needs and members' actual knowledge. Areas that might be covered include the institution's risk management tools and models, new developments, changes within the organisation, complex products, new products or markets and mergers. Training should also cover business areas individual members are not directly responsible for. The management body should dedicate sufficient time, budget and other resources to training.

## Organisational functioning of the management body

1. The management body shall define appropriate internal governance practices and procedures for its own organisation and functioning and have in place the means to ensure such practices are followed and periodically reviewed for improvement.
2. The management body should meet regularly in order to carry out its responsibilities adequately and effectively. The members of the management body should devote enough time to the preparation of the meeting. This preparation includes the setting of an agenda. The minutes of the meeting should set out the items on the agenda and clearly state the decisions taken and actions agreed. These practices and procedures, together with the rights, responsibilities and key activities of the management body, should be documented and periodically reviewed by the management body.

*Sound internal governance practices and procedures for the management body send out important signals internally and externally about the governance policies and objectives of the institution. The practices and procedures include the frequency, working procedures and minutes of meetings, the role of the chair and the use of committees.*

### Assessment of the functioning of the management body:

3. The management body should assess the individual and collective efficiency and effectiveness of its activities, governance practices and procedures, as well as the functioning of committees, on a regular basis. External facilitators may be used to carry out the assessment.

### Role of the Chair of the management body:

4. The chair should ensure that management body decisions are taken on a sound and well-informed basis. He or she should encourage and promote open and critical discussion and ensure that dissenting views can be expressed and discussed within the decision-making process.
5. In a one tier system, the chair of the management body and the chief executive officer of an institution should not be the same person. Where the chair of the management body is also the chief executive officer of the institution, the institution should have measures in place to minimise the potential detriment on its checks and balances.

*The chair of the management body plays a crucial role in the proper functioning of the management body. He or she provides leadership to the management body and is responsible for its effective overall functioning.*

*Checks and balances could comprise, for example, having a lead senior independent member of the management body in its supervisory function or a similar position.*

Specialised committees of the management body:

6. The management body in its supervisory function should consider, taking into account the size and complexity of an institution, setting up specialized committees consisting of members of the management body (other persons may be invited to attend because their specific expertise or advice is relevant for a particular issue). Specialised committees may include an audit committee, a risk committee, a remuneration committee, a nomination or human resources committee and/or a governance or ethics or compliance committee.
7. A specialised committee should have an optimal mix of expertise, competencies and experience that, in combination, allows it to fully understand, objectively evaluate and bring fresh thinking to the relevant issues. It should have a sufficient number of independent members. Each committee should have a documented mandate (including its scope) from the management body in its supervisory function and established working procedures. Membership and chairmanship of a committee might be rotated occasionally.
8. The respective committee chairs should report back regularly to the management body. The specialised committees should interact with each other as appropriate in order to ensure consistency and avoid any gaps. This could be done through cross-participation: the chair or a member of one specialised committee might also be a member of another specialised committee.

*Delegating to such committees does not in any way release the management body in its supervisory function from collectively discharging its duties and responsibilities but can help support it in specific areas if it facilitates the development and implementation of good governance practices and decisions.*

*The rotation of membership and chairmanship helps to avoid undue concentration of power and to promote fresh perspectives.*

Audit Committee:

9. An audit committee (or equivalent) should, *inter alia*, monitor the effectiveness of the company's internal control, internal audit, and risk management systems; oversee the institution's external auditors; recommend for approval by the management body the appointment, compensation and dismissal of the external auditors; review and approve the audit scope and frequency; review audit reports; and check that the management body in its management function takes necessary corrective actions in a timely manner to address control weaknesses, non-compliance with laws, regulations and policies, and other problems identified by the auditors. In addition, the audit committee should oversee the establishment of accounting policies by the institution.
10. The chair of the committee should be independent. If the chair is a former member of the management function of the institution, there should be an appropriate lapse of time before the position of committee chair is taken up.
11. Members of the audit committee as a whole should have recent and relevant practical experience in the area of financial markets or should have obtained, from their background business activities, sufficient

professional experience directly linked to financial markets activity. In any case, the chair of the audit committee should have specialist knowledge and experience in the application of accounting principles and internal control processes.

#### Risk Committee:

12. A risk committee (or equivalent) should be responsible for advising the management body on the institution's overall current and future risk tolerance/appetite and strategy, and for overseeing the implementation of that strategy. To enhance the effectiveness of the risk committee, it should regularly communicate with the institution's Risk Control function and Chief Risk Officer and should, where appropriate, have access to external expert advice, particularly in relation to proposed strategic transactions, such as mergers and acquisitions.

## Guideline 3

### Framework for business conduct

#### Corporate values and code of conduct

1. The management body shall develop and promote high ethical and professional standards.
2. The management body should have clear policies for how these standards should be met.
3. A continuing review of their implementation and the compliance with those standards should be performed. The results should be reported to the management body on a regular basis.

*When the reputation of an institution is called into question, the loss of trust can be difficult to rebuild and can have repercussions throughout the market.*

*Implementing appropriate standards (e.g. a code of conduct) for professional and responsible behaviour throughout an institution should help reduce the risks to which it is exposed. In particular, operational and reputational risk will be reduced if these standards are given high priority and implemented soundly.*

#### Conflicts of interest at institution level

1. The management body shall establish, implement and maintain effective policies to identify actual and potential conflicts of interest. Conflicts of interest that have been disclosed to and approved by the management body shall be appropriately managed.
2. A written policy should identify the relationships, services, activities or transactions of an institution in which conflicts of interest may arise and shall state how these conflicts should be managed. This policy should

cover relationships and transactions between different clients of an institution and those between an institution and:

- a. its customers (as a result of the commercial model and/or the various services and activities provided by the institution);
  - b. its shareholders;
  - c. the members of its management body;
  - d. its staff;
  - e. significant suppliers or business partners; and
  - f. other related parties (e.g. its parent company or subsidiaries).
3. A parent company should consider and balance the interests of all its subsidiaries, and consider how these interests contribute to the common purpose and interests of the group as a whole over the long term.
4. The conflict of interest policy should set out measures to be adopted to prevent or manage conflicts of interest. Such procedures and measures might include:
- a. adequate segregation of duties, e.g. entrusting conflicting activities within the chain of transactions or of services to different persons or entrusting supervisory and reporting responsibilities for conflicting activities to different persons;
  - b. establishing information barriers such as physical separation of certain departments; and
  - c. preventing people who are also active outside the institution from having inappropriate influence within the institution regarding those activities.

## Internal alert procedures

1. The management body shall put in place appropriate internal alert procedures for communicating internal governance concerns from the staff.
2. An institution should adopt appropriate internal alert procedures that staff can use to draw attention to significant and legitimate concerns regarding matters connected with internal governance. These procedures should respect the confidentiality of the staff that raises such concerns. To avoid conflicts of interest there should be an opportunity to raise these kinds of concerns outside regular reporting lines (e.g. through the Compliance function or the Internal Audit function or an internal whistleblower procedure). The alert procedures should be made available to all staff within an institution. Information provided by the staff via the alert procedure should, if relevant, be made available to the management body.

## Guideline 4

### Outsourcing and remuneration policies

#### Outsourcing policy

1. The management body shall approve and regularly review the outsourcing policy of an institution.
2. The outsourcing policy should consider the impact of outsourcing on an institution's business and the risks it faces (such as operational, reputational and concentration risk). The policy should include the reporting and monitoring arrangements to be implemented from inception to the end of an outsourcing agreement (including drawing up the business case for an outsourcing, entering into an outsourcing contract, the implementation of the contract to its expiry, contingency plans and exit strategies). The policy should be reviewed and updated regularly, with changes to be implemented in a timely manner.
3. An institution remains fully responsible for all outsourced services and activities and management decisions arising from them. Accordingly, the outsourcing policy should make it clear that an outsourcing does not relieve the institution of its regulatory obligations and its responsibilities to its customers.
4. The policy should state that outsourcing arrangements should not hinder effective on-site or off-site supervision of the institution and should not contravene any supervisory restrictions on services and activities. The policy should also cover internal outsourcing (e.g. by a separate legal entity within an institution's group) and any specific group circumstances to be taken into account.

#### Remuneration policy

For details and guidelines on the remuneration policy, please refer to the FSC Guidance Note on Remuneration Policies which provides comprehensive guidance on this matter.

## Guideline 5

### Risk management

#### Risk culture

1. An institution shall develop an integrated and institution-wide risk culture, based on a full understanding of the risks it faces and how they are managed, taking into account its risk tolerance/appetite.
2. An institution should develop its risk culture through policies, examples, communication and training of staff regarding their responsibilities for risk.

3. Every member of the organisation should be fully aware of his or her responsibilities relating to risk management. Risk management should not be confined to risk specialists or control functions. Business units, under the oversight of the management body, should be primarily responsible for managing risks on a day-to-day basis, taking into account the institution's risk tolerance/appetite and in line with its policies, procedures and controls.
4. An institution should have a holistic risk management framework extending across all its business, support and control units, recognizing fully the economic substance of its risk exposures and encompassing all relevant risks (e.g. financial and non-financial, on and off balance sheet, and whether or not contingent or contractual). Its scope should not be limited to credit, market, liquidity and operational risks, but should also include concentration, reputational, compliance and strategic risks.
5. The risk management framework should enable the institution to make informed decisions. They should be based on information derived from identification, measurement or assessment and monitoring of risks. Risks should be evaluated bottom up and top down, through the management chain as well as across business lines, using consistent terminology and compatible methodologies throughout the institution
6. The risk management framework should be subject to independent internal or external review and reassessed regularly against the institution's risk tolerance/appetite, taking into account information from the Risk Control function and, where relevant, the risk committee. Factors that should be considered include internal and external developments, including balance sheet and revenue growth, increasing complexity of the institution's business, risk profile and operating structure, geographic expansion, mergers and acquisitions and the introduction of new products or business lines.

*Since the business of an institution mainly involves risk taking, it is fundamental that risks are appropriately managed. A sound and consistent risk culture throughout an institution is a key element of effective risk management.*

## Risk management framework

1. An institution's risk management framework shall include policies, procedures, limits and controls providing adequate, timely and continuous identification, measurement or assessment, monitoring, mitigation and reporting of the risks posed by its activities at the business line and institution-wide levels.
2. An institution's risk management framework should provide specific guidance on the implementation of its strategies. They should, where appropriate, establish and maintain internal limits consistent with its risk tolerance/appetite and commensurate with its sound operation, financial strength and strategic goals. An institution's risk profile (i.e. the aggregate of its actual and potential risk exposures) should be kept within these limits. The risk management framework should ensure that breaches of the limits are escalated and addressed with appropriate follow up.

3. When identifying and measuring risks, an institution should develop forward-looking and backward-looking tools to complement work on current exposures. The tools should allow for the aggregation of risk exposures across business lines and support the identification of risk concentrations.
4. Forward-looking tools (such as scenario analysis and stress tests) should identify potential risk exposures under a range of adverse circumstances; backward-looking tools should help review the actual risk profile against the institution's risk tolerance/appetite and its risk management framework and provide input for any adjustment.
5. The ultimate responsibility for risk assessment lies solely with an institution which accordingly should evaluate its risks critically and should not exclusively rely on external assessments.
6. Decisions which determine the level of risks taken should not only be based on quantitative information or model outputs, but should also take into account the practical and conceptual limitations of metrics and models, using a qualitative approach (including expert judgement and critical analysis). Relevant macroeconomic environment trends and data should be explicitly addressed to identify their potential impact on exposures and portfolios. Such assessments should be formally integrated into material risk decisions.
7. Regular and transparent reporting mechanisms should be established so that the management body and all relevant units in an institution are provided with reports in a timely, accurate, concise, understandable and meaningful manner and can share relevant information about the identification, measurement or assessment and monitoring of risks. The reporting framework should be well defined, documented and approved by the management body.
8. If a risk committee has been set up it should receive regularly formal reports and informal communication as appropriate from the Risk Control function and the Chief Risk Officer.

*Effective communication of risk information is crucial for the whole risk management process, facilitates review and decision-making processes and helps prevent decisions that may unknowingly increase risk. Effective risk reporting involves sound internal consideration and communication of risk strategy and relevant risk data (e.g. exposures and key risk indicators) both horizontally across the institution and up and down the management chain.*

## New products

1. An institution shall have in place a well-documented new product approval policy ("NPAP"), approved by the management body, which addresses the development of new markets, products and services and significant changes to existing ones.
2. An institution's NPAP should cover every consideration to be taken into account before deciding to enter new markets, deal in new products, launch a new service or make significant changes to existing products or services. The NPAP should also include the definition of "new

product/market/business” to be used in the organisation and the internal functions to be involved in the decision-making process.

3. The NPAP should set out the main issues to be addressed before a decision is made. These should include regulatory compliance, pricing models, impacts on risk profile, capital adequacy and profitability, availability of adequate front, back and middle office resources and adequate internal tools and expertise to understand and monitor the associated risks. The decision to launch a new activity should clearly state the business unit and individuals responsible for it. A new activity should not be undertaken until adequate resources to understand and manage the associated risks are available.
4. The Risk Control function should be involved in approving new products or significant changes to existing products. Its input should include a full and objective assessment of risks arising from new activities under a variety of scenarios, of any potential shortcomings in the institution’s risk management and internal control frameworks, and of the ability of the institution to manage any new risks effectively. The Risk Control function should also have a clear overview of the roll-out of new products (or significant changes to existing products) across different business lines and portfolios and the power to require that changes to existing products go through the formal NPAP process.

## Guideline 6

### Internal controls

#### Internal control framework

1. An institution shall develop and maintain a strong and comprehensive internal control framework, including specific independent control functions with appropriate standing to fulfil their mission.
2. The internal control framework of an institution should ensure effective and efficient operations, adequate control of risks, prudent conduct of business, reliability of financial and non-financial information reported, both internally and externally, and compliance with laws, regulations supervisory requirements and the institution’s internal rules and decisions. The internal control framework should cover the whole organisation, including the activities of all business, support and control units. The internal control framework should be appropriate for an institution’s business, with sound administrative and accounting procedures.
3. In developing its internal control framework, an institution should ensure there are a clear, transparent and documented decision-making process and a clear allocation of responsibilities and authority to ensure compliance with internal rules and decisions. In order to implement a strong internal control framework in all areas of the institution, the business and support units should be responsible in the first place for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control policies and procedures.

4. An appropriate internal control framework also requires verification by independent control functions that these policies and procedures are complied with. The control functions should include a Risk Control function, a Compliance function and an Internal Audit function.
5. The control functions should be established at an adequate hierarchical level and report directly to the management body. They should be independent of the business and support units they monitor and control as well as organisationally independent from each other (since they perform different functions). However, in less complex or smaller institutions, the tasks of the Risk Control and Compliance function may be combined. The group control functions should oversee the subsidiaries' control functions.
6. In order for the control function to be regarded as independent the following conditions should be met:
  - a. its staff does not perform any tasks that fall within the scope of the activities the control function is intended to monitor and control;
  - b. the control function is organisationally separate from the activities it is assigned to monitor and control;
  - c. the head of the control function is subordinate to a person who has no responsibility for managing the activities the control function monitors and controls. The head of the control function generally should report directly to the management body and any relevant committees and should regularly attend their meetings; and
  - d. the remuneration of the control function's staff should not be linked to the performance of the activities the control function monitors and controls, and not otherwise likely to compromise their objectivity.
7. Control functions should have an adequate number of qualified staff (both at parent and subsidiary level in groups). Staff should be qualified on an on-going basis, and should receive proper training. They should also have appropriate data systems and support at their disposal, with access to the internal and external information necessary to meet their responsibilities.
8. Control functions should regularly submit to the management body formal reports on major identified deficiencies. These reports should include a follow-up on earlier findings and, for each new identified major deficiency, the relevant risks involved, an impact assessment and recommendations. The management body should act on the findings of the control functions in a timely and effective manner and require adequate remedial action.

## Risk control function (RCF)

1. An institution shall establish a comprehensive and independent Risk Control function.
2. The RCF should ensure each key risk the institution faces is identified and properly managed by the relevant units in the institution and a holistic view on all relevant risks is submitted to the management body. The RCF should provide relevant independent information, analyses and

expert judgement on risk exposures, and advice on proposals and risk decisions made by the management body and business or support units as to whether they are consistent with the institution's risk tolerance/appetite. The RCF may recommend improvements to the risk management framework and options to remedy breaches of risk policies, procedures and limits.

3. The RCF should be an institution's central organisational feature, structured so it can implement risk policies and control the risk management framework. Large, complex and sophisticated institutions may consider establishing dedicated RCFs for each material business line. However, there should be in the institution a central RCF (including where appropriate a Group RCF in the parent company of a group) to deliver a holistic view on all the risks.
4. The RCF should be independent of the business and support units whose risks it controls but not be isolated from them. It should possess sufficient knowledge on risk management techniques and procedures and on markets and products. Interaction between the operational functions and the RCF should facilitate the objective that all the institution's staff bears responsibility for managing risk.

## The Risk control function's role

1. The RCF shall be actively involved at an early stage in elaborating an institution's risk strategy and in all material risk management decisions. The RCF shall play a key role in ensuring the institution has effective risk management processes in place.

### RCF's role in strategy and decisions:

2. The RCF should provide the management body with all relevant risk related information (e.g. through technical analysis on risk exposure) to enable it to set the institution's risk tolerance/appetite level.
3. The RCF should also assess the risk strategy, including targets proposed by the business units, and advise the management body before a decision is made. Targets, which include credit ratings and rates of return on equity, should be plausible and consistent.
4. The RCF should share responsibility for implementing an institution's risk strategy and policy with all the institution's business units. While the business units should implement the relevant risk limits, the RCF should be responsible for ensuring the limits are in line with the institution's overall risk appetite/risk tolerance and monitoring on an on-going basis that the institution is not taking on excessive risk.
5. The RCF's involvement in the decision-making processes should ensure risk considerations are taken into account appropriately. However, accountability for the decisions taken should remain with the business and support units and ultimately the management body.

### RCF's role in transactions with related parties:

6. The RCF should ensure transactions with related parties are reviewed and the risks, actual or potential, they pose for the institution are identified and adequately assessed.

RCF's role in the complexity of the legal structure:

7. The RCF should aim to identify material risks arising from the complexity of an institution's legal structure. Risks may include a lack of management transparency, operational risks caused by inter-connected and complex funding structures, intra-group exposures, trapped collateral and counterparty risk.

*Risks may include a lack of management transparency, operational risks caused by inter-connected and complex funding structures, intra-group exposures, trapped collateral and counterparty risk.*

RCF's role in material changes:

8. The RCF should evaluate how any material risks identified could affect the institution or group's ability to manage its risk profile and deploy funding and capital under normal and adverse circumstances.
9. Before decisions on material changes or exceptional transactions are taken, the RCF should be involved in the evaluation of the impact of such changes and exceptional transactions on the institution's and group's overall risk.

*Material changes or exceptional transactions might include mergers and acquisitions, creation or sale of subsidiaries or SPVs, new products, changes to systems, risk management framework or procedures and changes to the institution's organisation.*

*The RCF should be actively involved at an early stage in identifying relevant risks (including potential consequences from conducting insufficient due diligence that fails to identify post-merger risks) related to changes to the group structure (including merger and acquisitions) and should report its findings directly to the management body.*

RCF's role in measurement and assessment:

10. The RCF should ensure that an institution's internal risk measurements and assessments cover an appropriate range of scenarios and are based on sufficiently conservative assumptions regarding dependencies and correlations. This should include qualitative (including with expert judgement) firm-wide views on the relationships between the risks and profitability of the institution and its external operating environment.

RCF's role in monitoring:

11. The RCF should ensure all identified risks can be effectively monitored by the business units. The RCF should regularly monitor the actual risk profile of the institution and scrutinise it against the institution's strategic goals, risk tolerance/appetite to enable decision making by the management body in its management function and challenge by the management body in its supervisory function.
12. The RCF should analyse trends and recognise new or emerging risks arising from changing circumstances and conditions. It should also regularly review actual risk outcomes against previous estimates (i.e.

back testing) to assess and improve the accuracy and effectiveness of the risk management process.

13. The group RCF should monitor the risks taken by the subsidiaries. Inconsistencies with the approved group strategy should be reported to the relevant management body.

RCF's role in unapproved exposures:

14. The RCF should be adequately involved in any changes to the institution's strategy, approved risk tolerance/appetite and limits.
15. The RCF should independently assess a breach or violation (including its cause and a legal and economic analysis of the actual cost of closing, reducing or hedging the exposure against the potential cost of keeping it). The RCF should inform, as appropriate, the business units concerned and recommend possible remedies.
16. The RCF should play a key role in ensuring a decision on its recommendation is made at the relevant level, complied with by the relevant business units and appropriately reported to the management body, risk committee and business or support unit.
17. An institution should take appropriate actions against internal or external fraudulent behaviour and breaches of discipline (e.g. breach of internal procedures, breach of limits).

*Breaches or violations of strategies, risk tolerance / appetite or limits can be caused by new transactions, changes in market circumstances or by an evolution in the institution's strategy, policies or procedures, when limits or risk tolerance / appetite are not changed accordingly.*

## Chief Risk Officer

1. An institution shall appoint a person, the Chief Risk Officer ("CRO"), with exclusive responsibility for the RCF and for monitoring the institution's risk management framework across the entire organisation.
2. The CRO (or equivalent position) shall be responsible for providing comprehensive and understandable information on risks, enabling the management body to understand the institution's overall risk profile. The same applies to the CRO of a parent institution regarding the whole group.
3. The CRO should have sufficient expertise, operating experience, independence and seniority to challenge decisions that affect an institution's exposure to risk. An institution should consider granting a veto right to the CRO. The CRO and the management body or relevant committees should be able to communicate directly among themselves on key risk issues, including developments that may be inconsistent with the institution's risk tolerance/appetite and strategy.
4. If an institution wishes to grant the CRO the right to veto decisions, its risk policies should set out the circumstances under which the CRO may do this and the nature of the proposals (e.g. a credit or investment decision or the setting of a limit). The policies should describe the

escalation or appeals procedures and how the management body is informed.

5. When an institution's characteristics – notably its size, organisation and the nature of its activities – do not justify entrusting such responsibility to a specially appointed person, the function could be fulfilled by another senior person within the institution, provided there is no conflict of interest.
6. The institution should have documented processes in place to assign the position of the CRO and to withdraw his or her responsibilities. If the CRO is replaced it should be done with the prior approval of the management body in its supervisory function. Generally the removal or appointment of a CRO should be disclosed and the supervisory authority informed about the reasons.

## Compliance function

1. An institution shall establish a Compliance function to manage its compliance risk.
2. An institution shall approve and implement a compliance policy which should be communicated to all staff.
3. An institution should establish a permanent and effective Compliance function and appoint a person responsible for this function across the entire institution and group (the Compliance Officer or Head of Compliance). In smaller and less complex institutions this function may be combined with or assisted by the risk control or support functions (e.g. HR, legal, etc).
4. The Compliance function should ensure that the compliance policy is observed and report to the management body and as appropriate to the RCF on the institution's management of compliance risk. The findings of the Compliance function should be taken into account by the management body and the RCF within the decision-making process.
5. The Compliance function should advise the management body on laws, rules, regulations and standards the institution needs to meet and assess the possible impact of any changes in the legal or regulatory environment on the institution's activities.
6. The Compliance function should also verify that new products and new procedures comply with the current legal environment and any known forthcoming changes to legislation, regulations and supervisory requirements.

*Compliance risk (being defined as the current or prospective risk to earnings and capital arising from violations or non-compliance with laws, rules, regulations, agreements, prescribed practices or ethical standards) can lead to fines, damages and/or the voiding of contracts and can diminish an institution's reputation.*

*Special care should be taken when the institution performs certain services or sets up structures on behalf of customers (e.g. acting as a company or partnership formation agent, providing trustee services, or developing complex structured finance transactions for customers) which can lead to particular internal governance challenges and prudential concerns.*

## Internal audit function

1. The Internal Audit function (“IAF”) shall assess whether the quality of an institution’s internal control framework is both effective and efficient.
2. The IAF should have unfettered access to relevant documents and information in all operational and control units.
3. The IAF should evaluate the compliance of all activities and units of an institution (including the RCF and Compliance function) with its policies and procedures. Therefore, the IAF should not be combined with any other function. The IAF should also assess whether existing policies and procedures remain adequate and comply with legal and regulatory requirements.
4. The IAF should verify, in particular, the integrity of the processes ensuring the reliability of the institution’s methods and techniques, assumptions and sources of information used in its internal models (for instance, risk modelling and accounting measurement). It should also evaluate the quality and use of qualitative risk identification and assessment tools. However, in order to strengthen its independence, the IAF should not be directly involved in the design or selection of models or other risk management tools.
5. The management body should encourage the internal auditors to adhere to national and international professional standards. Internal audit work should be performed in accordance with an audit plan and detailed audit programs following a “risk based” approach. The audit plan should be approved by the audit committee and/or the management body.
6. The IAF should report directly to the management body and/or its audit committee (where applicable) its findings and suggestions for material improvements to internal controls. All audit recommendations should be subject to a formal follow-up procedure by the respective levels of management to ensure and report their resolution.

## Guideline 7

### Information systems and business continuity

#### Information systems and communications

1. An institution shall have effective and reliable information and communication systems covering all its significant activities.
2. Information systems, including those that hold and use data in electronic form, should be secure, independently monitored and supported by adequate contingency arrangements. An institution should comply with generally accepted IT Standards when implementing IT systems.

*Management decision-making could be adversely affected by unreliable or misleading information provided by systems that are poorly designed and controlled. Thus a critical component of an institution’s activities is the establishment and maintenance of information and communication systems*

*that cover the full range of its activities. This information is typically provided through both electronic and non-electronic means.*

*An institution should be particularly aware of the organisational and internal control requirements relating to processing information in electronic form and the need to have an adequate audit trail. This also applies if IT systems are outsourced to an IT service provider.*

## Business continuity management

1. An institution shall establish a sound business continuity management to ensure its ability to operate on an on-going basis and limit losses in the event of severe business disruption.
2. In order to establish a sound business continuity management, an institution should carefully analyse its exposure to severe business disruptions and assess (quantitatively and qualitatively) their potential impact, using internal and/or external data and scenario analysis. This analysis should cover all business and support units and the RCF and take into account their interdependency. In addition, a specific independent Business Continuity function, the RCF or the Operational Risk Management function should be actively involved. The results of the analysis should contribute to define the institutions' recovery priorities and objectives.
3. On the basis of the above analysis, an institution should put in place:
  - a. Contingency and business continuity plans to ensure an institution reacts appropriately to emergencies and is able to maintain its most important business activities if there is disruption to its ordinary business procedures.
  - b. Recovery plans for critical resources to enable it to return to ordinary business procedures in an appropriate timeframe. Any residual risk from potential business disruptions should be consistent with the institution's risk tolerance/appetite.
4. Contingency, business continuity and recovery plans should be documented and carefully implemented. The documentation should be available within the business, support units and the RCF, and stored on systems that are physically separated and readily accessible in case of contingency. Appropriate training should be provided. Plans should be regularly tested and updated. Any challenges or failures occurring in the tests should be documented and analysed, with the plans reviewed accordingly.

*An institution's business relies on several critical resources (e.g. IT systems, communication systems, buildings). The purpose of Business Continuity Management is to reduce the operational, financial, legal, reputational and other material consequences arising from a disaster or extended interruption to these resources and consequent disruption to the institution's ordinary business procedures. Other risk management measures might be to reduce the probability of such incidents or to transfer their financial impact (e.g. through insurance) to third parties.*

## Guideline 8

### Transparency

#### Empowerment

1. Strategies and policies shall be communicated to all relevant staff throughout an institution.
2. An institution's staff should understand and adhere to policies and procedures pertaining to their duties and responsibilities.
3. Accordingly, the management body should inform and update the relevant staff about the institution's strategies and policies in a clear and consistent way, at least to the level needed to carry out their particular duties. This may be done through written guidelines, manuals or other means.

#### Internal governance transparency

1. The internal governance framework of an institution shall be transparent. An institution shall present its current position and future prospects in a clear, balanced, accurate and timely way.
2. An institution should publicly disclose at least the following:
  - a. its governance structures and policies, including its objectives, organisational structure, internal governance arrangements, structure and organisation of the management body, including attendances, and the incentive and remuneration structure of the institution;
  - b. the nature, extent, purpose and economic substance of transactions with affiliates and related parties, if they have a material impact on the institution;
  - c. how its business and risk strategy is set (including the involvement of the management body) and foreseeable risk factors;
  - d. its established committees and their mandates and composition;
  - e. its internal control framework and how its control functions are organised, the major tasks they perform, how their performance is monitored by the management body and any planned material changes to these functions; and
  - f. material information about its financial and operating results.
3. Information about the current position of the institution should comply with any legal disclosure requirements. Information should be clear, accurate, relevant, timely and accessible.
4. In cases where ensuring a high degree of accuracy would delay the release of time-sensitive information, an institution should make a judgement as to the appropriate balance between timeliness and accuracy, bearing in mind the requirement to provide a true and fair picture of its situation and give a satisfactory explanation for any delay.



This explanation should not be used to delay regular reporting requirements.

*The objective of transparency in the area of internal governance is to provide all relevant stakeholders of an institution (including shareholders, employees, customers and the general public) with key information necessary to enable them to judge the effectiveness of the management body in governing the institution.*

Financial Services Commission  
PO Box 940, Suite 3, Ground Floor,  
Atlantic Suites, Europort Avenue,  
Gibraltar

### Regulatory objectives and principles of good regulation – checklist

<b>Which regulatory objectives are the proposals aimed to facilitate:?</b>	
(a) To promote market confidence;	Yes
(b) The reduction of systemic risk;	Yes
(c) To promote public awareness;	Yes
(d) The protection of the reputation of Gibraltar;	Yes
(e) The protection of consumers;	Yes
(f) The reduction of financial crime, including the funding of terrorism;	Yes
<b>Do the proposals accord with the following principles of good regulation?</b>	
1. The need to use our resources in the most efficient, effective and economic way;	Yes
2. The principle that the duty to manage a business falls upon the senior management of that business. The Directors of a licence holder, both executive and non-executive have ultimate responsibility for ensuring that the business is properly run and operates in accordance with regulatory requirements;	Yes – the guidelines effectively set out what is current best practice in terms of certain organisational requirements.
3. The principle that a burden or restriction which is imposed upon authorised firms should be commensurate with the benefits expected to result from such action, so ensuring that the Authority is striking the right balance between achieving the statutory objectives and ensuring that the impact on those being regulated is not such as to be counterproductive;	Yes – in issuing the guidelines the EBA carried out a comprehensive cost benefit analysis of the implications for, and impact of the guidelines on, credit institutions and investment firms. Whilst there are some compliance and operational costs involved EBA has not deemed these as significant or material. Any costs are outweighed by the benefits identified.
4. The desirability of facilitating innovation in connection with regulated activities;	Not applicable
5. The international character of financial services and markets and the desirability of maintaining the competitive position of Gibraltar; and	Yes
6. The need to consider the adverse effects of regulation on competition and consumer choice.	Yes
7. Does this match UK supervisory practices	N/A – matches expectations of the EBA. In any case it is expected that the UK will also adopt, and comply with, the EBA guidelines.

